Review Article

Received on 29.09.2016, **Accepted on** 12.10.2016

Indian Journal of Genetics and Molecular Research
Volume 5 Number 2, July - December 2016
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21088/ijgmr.2319.4782.5216.4

Perceptions about Genetic Code and its Evolution

Brijendra Singh

Abstract

This is a well-established fact about genetic code is that code is universal and consists of codons set in the customary typical tabulation that on the other hand go around as greatly non-random. Various communally allied theories were specified concerning the perceptions about the origin and evolution of the genetic code.

There are not many accomplishments in the short past account of molecular biology which had an intense impact on the progression of science and at the same time are stalwartly embossed in the civic insight. Decoding and interpretation of Genetic code is definitely one of such event. The genetic code is vital to each and every form of existence and is of elementary significance to the entire biology. Earlier it was a conviction that protein is accountable for transferring the genetic information from one to the other generation.

All concepts and theories in nutshell recommended that the customary code might have no unique features but was preset simply because each and every existing forms of life have a common predecessor, by way of consequent alterations to the code, irrelevant of the lethal effects of codon relocations.

A genuine and factual appreciation of the origin and evolution of this genetic code can be accomplished only in juxtaposition with a plausible setting for the evolution of the theories of coding and translation coordination. Here the discourse of the perceptions of various workers and evolution of the genetic code with time is discussed.

Keywords: Genetic Code; Evolution; Codons.

Introduction

There are not many accomplishments in the short past account of molecular biology which had an intense impact on the progression of science and at the same time are stalwartly embossed in the civic insight. Decoding and interpretation of Genetic code is definitely one of such event. The genetic code is vital to each and every form of existence and is of elementary significance to the entire biology. Earlier it was a conviction that protein is accountable for transferring the genetic information from one to the other generation. In early forties later, it was established that the principal factor is deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). Process was further declassified by unearthing the details of DNA structure. But still the mechanism of translating four nucleotides in it into twenty amino acid and then to fold over proteins was a mystery [1].After rigorous work by eminent workers answer to it came after twenty years, while a trial on E.coli cell liberated arrangement the poly-U programmed synthesis of polyphenylalanine was established. Initiation codon UUU coding for phenylalanine was discovered [2]. Not only this, such deciphering was continued and revealed triplets coding for each of the amino acids. The salient features of it as being universal, mostly unambiguous etc were also demonstrated.

Exclusions

At the outset, it was assumed that the genetic code is with triplet codons, universal, non-overlapping, non-punctuated, exhibiting degeneracy and unambiguousfor all the organisms.If there is a slightest alteration in the connotation of codons, it

Author's Affiliation: Additional Professor, Department of Anatomy, A.I.I.M.S. Jodhpur - 342005, Rajasthan (INDIA).

Corresponding Author: Brijendra Singh, Additional Professor, Department of Anatomy, A.I.I.M.S. Jodhpur - 342005, Rajasthan (INDIA).
E-mail: drbrijendrasingh@gmail.com

will lead to invalid and flawed protein sequences. The notion was later modified by break through of unconventional innovative genetic codes which showed trivial divergence from the customary set [3]. Such variations are moreover restricted tonuclear codes in few taxonomic assemblies and to mitochondria. Notesworthy is that the reassignmentsof codons are often repeated periodically in various diverse groups of organisms. Also shortly to elucidate for the variations in codon connotation, the codon capture conjecture was anticipated [4]. In mitochondria with its self sufficient translation gear, there is a difference as AUA here decode methionine instead of isoleucine. The alteration in the code was accredited here to the petite size of the mitochondrial genome.It was also found that in Mycoplasma, the stop codon UAG (termination codon) is deciphered as tryptophan along with usual UGG.UAA and UAG in many groups as diplomonads, ciliates and few algaes are established to encode glutamine other than their conventional function of termination [5]. It is for that rationale, deceptive to assume the genetic code to be considered universal, as always there subsist some exclusions [6,7]. In organisms which make the most of the customary typical genetic code are also believed to have an alternative codoncoursework which can be because of quite a fewmeans as nonsense containment, circumvent and innate suppression. Rather it can also be stated as that the nonsense codons encompass the latency for reassignment. In the natural suppression the genetic code can be describedas incorporation of non-canonical amino acids atstop codons. Despite the fact that exceptions are interesting and significant, the salient universal features of thecode point out that a foundation of protein biosynthesis machinery advanced ahead of the divergence of the three kingdoms of life [3,8].

Discourse

The speculations to explicate the primitive progression of the genetic code are abundant. Mostly every single one of these postulation take account of theories that the entire system of coding cropped up by means of a single or a meager number of amino acids. And as the total of twenty was attained, were additions were supplemented. These speculations got a great response in scientific forums of molecular biology globally but were incapable to validate.It is considered that in total there are one hundred and forty amino acids in the existing natural proteins. Though, the canonical assemblage includes merely twenty amino acids with their analogous triplets in the genetic code, and these are further integrated into proteins at the process of translation. This assembly is universal, regardless of the intricacies in their evolution and disparity in the residing environment in which they endure. The restrictions of the coding competence were perhapslaid down at the nascent phase of evolution of genetic code. It is implied later that the current triplet code progressed from a binary triplet code which had two letters. Here only the initial two nucleotides were actuallymeant forcoding [9,10]. This trait is by some meansthere in the existing geneticcode where the majority of the amino acids are encoded by assemblage of codons with the mere disparity at the third position. This salient feature known as degeneracy of triplet combinations appear to have been conserved tocurtail the lethalconsequence of point mutations. Some of the contemporary organisms also exhibit the evidences of the evolutionary discourse of lengthening the coding competence of the genetic code. In few species, asparaginyl- orglutaminyl-tRN A synthetases is absent, but they hold explicit tRNAs which fit in these amino acidswithin proteins [11]. Reportedly there aretwo instance of the extensionof the genetic code ahead of the customary assemblage of twenty amino acids. The amino acids as selenocysteine and pyrrolysine, can be integrated during translation into proteins at site specified by codons UGA and UAG, respectively, which are conventional stop codons. The seleno cysteinyl tRNA is initially aminoacylated with serine which is afterwards utilized as a substrate for the synthesis of selenocysteine. The aminoacylationis done by serine specific aminoacyl-tRNAsynthetase [12]. In the other instance of pyrrolysine, that is rather uncomplicated and engross direct aminoacylation of suppress or tRNAPyl with pyrrolysine [13]. So it implies that mechanisms differ to carry out the procedures.The inclusion of selenocysteine and pyrrolysineinto protein succession can be considered as an extension of the universal set genetic code for the reason that, for both of them are precise cognate tRNAs which identify precise codons. Still, unlike other codons, the incidence of these codons isnot ample for their decoding as Sec or Pyl. Therefore the two instances bear a similarity to suppression ora readthrough at stop codons, that is common eukaryotes as well as the prokaryotes [14,15]. An exceptional system like this for enabling the codon for an extremely precise function would have surfaced for the making of an awfully a small number of species of enzymes. The assemblage of genetic code reveals a competency to allocate amino acids exceeding then twenty. At the same time the prehistoric fact can't be denied of utilizing in standard only twenty amino acids for the synthesis of protein. Furthermore, the inclusion of

selenocysteine austerely based onthe commotion of particular specialized translation elongation factors[16].

Recent Concepts Regarding Non-Standard Genetic Codes

Ever since the ancient times, unconventional codes have been reported in both nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. The genetic code engineering is turned out to be a field of interest in research among workers. Many recent advanced concepts have surfaced from the area of natural non-standard genetic codes. Moreover here the main stress is on the codon relocation strategies that are germane for the engineering of genetic code in the laboratory. Modern innovativegears and tools in the field of synthetic biology and the contemporary endeavors to foistnovel codes for integration of non-standard amino acids are also considered globally by the scientific resources.

Low codon convention, codon unassignment, genome reduction, diminutive proteome dimensions and desertion of tRNAare vitaltroupes for the progression of the genetic code [17].

Perceptions and Conclusion

It is evident that the template initiated protein synthesis is based on the genetic code. At the same time the role of decoding mechanism in the process can't be declined. It was envisaged that the survival ofadaptor molecules which are specific tRNAand which also connect specific codons with precise amino acids. As a consequence, the progression of decoding, which is based on the codons and tRNA molecules complementarities, need anextremely particular system which links amino acids and their complementary tRNAs. This is undertaken by aminoacyl-tRNAsynthetases. Itcatalyzeprecise charging of their complementary tRNAs with the corresponding amino acid.This retort ascertain the unswerving association amid the anti codon and the activated amino acid.Hence, aminoacyl-tRNAsynthetases are well thought-out to be the genuine translators of the genetic code [18]. In the gene regulation, the role of epigenetic code is also considerable [19]. It appear that interpreting the epigenetic features and their persuasion over the prototype of gene expression will help in having a better perception of molecular organization analogous to the geneticcode in the past. It should also be noted nevertheless that no organisms exist which utilizes the genetic code organization for extra or fewer than twenty amino acids. It is the amino acids which are fixed or 'frozen' i.e. twenty in number and not the genetic code that allocate them. As a consequence it can be concluded that the genetic code is still in the process of evolution.

References

1. Woese CR. Translation: in retrospect and prospect. RNA. 2001; 7:1055-67.
2. Nirenberg MW et al. An intermediate in the biosynthesis of polyphenylalanine directed by synthetic template RNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1962; 48:104-9.
3. Knight RD, Freeland SJ, Landweber LF. Rewiring the keyboard: Evolvability of the genetic code. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2001; 2:49-58.
4. Osawa S, Jukes TH. Codon reassignment (codon capture) in evolution. J. Mol. Evol.1989; 28: 271-8.
5. Gesteland RF, Atkins JF. Recoding: dynamic reprogramming of translation. Annu Rev Biochem. 1996; 65:741-68.
6. Osawa S, Muto A, Ohama T, Andachi R,Tanaka R, Yamao, F. Prokaryotic genetic code. Experientia. 1990; 46:1097–1106.
7. Osawa S, Muto A, Jukes TH, Ohama, T. Evolutionary changes in the genetic code. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser.1990; B241:19–28.
8. Miranda I, Silva R, Santos MA. Evolution of genetic code in yeast. Yeast. 2006; 23:203–13.
9. Szathmary E. The origin of the genetic code. Trends in Genetics. 1999; 15: 223-9.
10. Travers A. The Evolution of the Genetic Code Revisited. Orig Life Evol Biosph. 2006; 36(5):549-55.
11. Ibba M, Soll D. Aminoacyl-tRNAs: Setting the limits of the genetic code. Genes Dev. 2004; 18:731-8.
12. Ambrogelly A, Palioura S, Soll D. Natural expansion of the genetic code. Nat Chem Biol. 2007; 3:29-35.
13. Srinivasan S, Rashka KE, BierE.Creation of a sog morphogen gradient in the Drosophila embryo. Dev. Cell. 2002; 2(1):91-101.
14. Cornish VW, Mendel D, Schultz PG. Probing protein structure and function with an expanded genetic code. AngewChemInt Ed Engl. 1995; 34:621–33.
15. Beier H, Grimm M. Misreading of termination codons in eukaryotes by natural nonsense suppressor tRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001; 29: 4767-82.
16. Fagegaltier D, Baker BS. X chromosome sites autonomously recruit the dosage compensation complex in *Drosophila* males. PLoS Biol. 2004; 2:e341.

17. Koonin EV, Novozhilov AS. Origin and evolution of the genetic code: The universal enigma. IUBMB Life. 2009; 61:99-111.

18. Beuning PJ, Musier-Forsyth K. Transfer RNA recognition by aminoacyl-tRNAsynthetases. Biopolymers.1999; 52:1–28.

19. Turner BM. Defining an epigenetic code. Nat Cell Biol.2007; 9:2–6.